♠ AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

TO:

Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

filed in the U.S. Dis	strict Court Northern Distri	ct of California on the following X Patents or Trademarks:		
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
CV 11-03570 LB	Jul <u>y</u> 20, 2011	Northern District of California, 1301 Clay St., RM 400S, Oakland, CA 94612		
PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT FEDERAL TERRITORIA DE LA		
TOYOTA MOTOR CO	ORPORATION, ET AL	EFFICIENT DRIVETRAINS, INC, ET AL		
		ì		
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF TATERT OR HOLDER AND		
15,842,534		SEE ATTACHED		
26,054,844				
36,116,363		·		
46,809,429				
56,847,189				
In the char	e—entitled case, the following	natent(s) have been included:		
		patent(s) have been mended.		
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	endment		
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		
1		SEE ATTACHED		
2				
3				
4				
5				
In the above	a entitled case the following	decision has been rendered or judgement issued:		
DECISION/JUDGEMENT	e characteristic increases	decision has been reliaded of judgement issued.		
DECISION/JUDGEMENT				
CLEDY	Los) DEPUTY CLERK DATE		
		T) DEPUTY CLERK DATE		
Richard W. Wieking				

Megan Whyman Olesek (SBN 191218) 1 molesek@kenyon.com KENYON & KENYON LLP 2 333 West San Carlos Street, Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95110-2731 3 408.975.7500 Telephone: 408.975.7501 4 Facsimile: Clerk, U.C. Clorical Count (Additional Counsel Listed Below) Northern District of 5 6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Tovota Motor Corporation 7 and Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 LB 10 11 CV11-03570 12 TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION and TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, USA, INC. 13 COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT Plaintiffs, 14 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL ٧. 15 EFFICIENT DRIVETRAINS INC. and 16 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA. 17 Defendants 18 19 Plaintiffs Toyota Motor Corporation and Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc. (collectively 20 "Toyota") by and through its undersigned attorneys allege, upon knowledge as to its own acts and 21 upon information and belief as to the acts of others, that: 22 NATURE OF THE ACTION 23 This is an action for a declaratory judgment of noninfringement and invalidity of 1. 24 U.S. Patent Nos. 5,842,534 (the "'534 Patent"), 6,054,844 (the "'844 Patent"), 6,116,363 (the 25 "363 Patent"), 6,809,429 (the "429 Patent"), and 6,847,189 (the "189 Patent") (collectively, the 26 27 "Asserted Patents"). 28

KENYON & KENYON LLP NEW YORK

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

CASE NO.

1	:	
2		
3		J
4		
5]
6		
7		
8		,
9	ļ	
10		
11	1	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	1	
26		
^-		

THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff Toyota Motor Corporation ("TMC") is a Japanese Corporation having its 2. principal place of business at 1 Toyota-Cho, Toyota City, Aichi Prefecture 471-8571, Japan.
- Plaintiff Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc. ("TMS") is a California corporation 3. having its principal place of business at 19001 S. Western Avenue, Torrance, CA 90509.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Efficient Drivetrains Inc. ("EDI") is a 4. Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 319 Ramona Street, Palo Alto, California 94301.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant The Regents of the University of 5. California ("UC") is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 1111 Franklin Street, 12th Floor, Oakland, California 94607-5200.

JURISDICTION

- This action arises under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02 and 6. the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, U.S.C. § 1 et seq. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- This Court has personal jurisdiction over EDI because its principal place of 7. business is in Palo Alto, Santa Clara County, California.
- This Court has personal jurisdiction over UC because its principal place of 8. business is in Oakland, Alameda County, California.
- This Court has declaratory judgment jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2201 because, 9. as alleged below, there is an actual and justiciable controversy between Toyota, on one hand, and EDI and UC, on the other, regarding the noninfringement and invalidity of the Asserted Patents.
- Since 2000, Toyota has sold certain hybrid electric vehicles in the U.S., including 10. the Toyota Prius, Toyota Camry Hybrid, Toyota Highlander Hybrid, Lexus RX400h, Lexus RX450h, Lexus LS600hL, Lexus GS450h, Lexus HS250h, and Lexus CT 200h (collectively, "Toyota Hybrids").
- Upon information and belief, UC is the owner of each of the Asserted Patents, and 11. UC has granted EDI an exclusive license with respect to each of the Asserted Patents. True and COMPLAINT FOR

28

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

1	correct copies of each of the Asserted Patents are attached hereto as Exhibits A through E.			
2	12. EDI, through its counsel, and purportedly with authorization from UC, has written			
3	to Toyota offering Toyota a license to the Asserted Patents. In subsequent discussions between			
4	the parties, EDI has contended that the Toyota Hybrids infringe each of the Asserted Patents and			
5	has indicated it intends to enforce each of the Asserted Patents against Toyota. Toyota denies that			
6	it infringes any of the Asserted Patents and contends that the Asserted Patents are invalid. As a			
7	result, an actual and justiciable controversy exists between Toyota, on one hand, and EDI and			
8	UC, on the other, regarding the noninfringement and invalidity of the Asserted Patents.			
9	VENUE			
10	13. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)-(c). EDI conducts			
11	business within this district and its principal place of business is within this district. UC conducts			
12	business within this district and its principal place of business is within this district.			
13	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT			
14	14. This is an Intellectual Property Action. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(c), the			
15	action may be assigned on a district-wide basis.			
16	FIRST COUNT			
17	17 DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NONINFRINGEMENT			
18	15. Toyota incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 14 as if			
19	fully set forth herein.			
20	16. Toyota does not and has not directly infringed, contributed to the infringement of,			
21	nor actively induced others to infringe, any claim of the Asserted Patents.			
22	SECOND COUNT			
23	DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY			
24	17. Toyota incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 16 as if			
25	fully set forth herein.			
26	18. Each of the claims of the Asserted Patents is invalid for failure to comply with one			
27	or more of the conditions and requirements of the patent laws, including, but not limited to, 35			
28	U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and 112, and the rules, regulations and laws pertaining to those provisions.			
иуои	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT -3 - CASE NO.			

Kenyon & Ken LLP NEW YORK

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Dated: July 20, 2011 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Toyota respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment:

- a. Declaring that Toyota has not infringed any claim of the Asserted Patents;
- b. Declaring that each of the claims of the Asserted Patents is invalid;
- c. Finding that, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 and/or other applicable laws, this is an exceptional case and that Toyota be awarded costs of this action and its attorneys' fees to the extent permitted by law; and
- d. Granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

KENYON & KENYON LLP

By:

Megan Whyman Olesek (SBN 191218) molesek@kenyon.com

KENYON & KENYON LLP

33 West San Carlos Street, Suite 600

San Jose, CA 95110 Telephone: 408.975.7500 Facsimile: 408.975.7501

George E. Badenoch (pro hac vice application to be submitted) gbadenoch@kenyon.com

John Flock (pro hac vice application to

be submitted)

jflock@kenyon.com

Thomas R. Makin (pro hac vice application to be submitted)

tmakin@kenvon.com KENYON & KENYON LLP

One Broadway

New York, NY 10004-1007 Telephone: 212.425.7200

Facsimile:212.425.5288

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Toyota Motor Corporation and Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc.

27 28

25

26

KENYON & KENYON LLP NEW YORK

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

- 4 -

CASE NO.

JURY DEMAND 1 Toyota Motor Corporation and Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc. demand a jury trial of all 2 3 issues so triable. 4 5 KENYON & KENYON LLP Dated: July 20, 2011 6 7 8 Megan Whyman Olesek (SBN 191218) motesek@kenyon.com 9 KENYON & KENYON LLP 33 West San Carlos Street, Suite 600 10 San Jose, CA 95110 Telephone: 408.975.7500 11 Facsimile: 408.975.7501 12 George E. Badenoch (pro hac vice application to be submitted) 13 gbadenoch@kenyon.com John Flock (pro hac vice application to 14 be submitted) iflock@kenyon.com 15 Thomas R. Makin (pro hac vice application to be submitted) 16 tmakin@kenyon.com KENYON & KENYON LLP 17 One Broadway New York, NY 10004-1007 18 Telephone: 212.425.7200 Facsimile:212.425.5288 19 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 20 Toyota Motor Corporation and Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

KENYON & KENYON LLP New York

28